

Relationship Between Vocal Handicap and Vocal Tract Discomfort in University Professors

Relação entre desvantagem vocal e desconfortos do trato vocal em professores universitários

Relación entre la Discapacidad Vocal y las Molestias del Tracto Vocal en Profesores Universitarios

Gabriel Trevizani¹

Djanira Nogueira dos Santos Fernandes²

Jonathan Grassi²

Elma Heitmann Mares Azevedo²

Felipe Moreti¹

Michelle Guimarães²

Abstract

Introduction: Teachers face unique vocal health challenges due to the nature of their profession. **Objective:** To identify and correlate vocal tract discomfort and perceived voice handicap in university professors. **Methods:** Observational, cross-sectional, and analytical study. A sociodemographic questionnaire, the Voice Handicap Index-10 (VHI-10), and the Vocal Tract Discomfort Scale (VTDS) were applied to university professors from a Brazilian federal institution. Spearman's correlation test was used, with a 5% significance level ($p < 0.05$). **Results:** A total of 126 professors participated: 5 (3.96%) were up

¹ Faculdade de Filosofia e Ciências da Universidade Estadual Paulista "Julio Mesquita Filho" – Unesp, Marília, SP, Brazil.

² Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Vitória, ES, Brazil.

Authors' contributions:

GT: methodology, data collection, writing of the article.

DNSF: methodology, data collection, writing of the article.

JG: methodology, writing of the article.

EHMA: methodology, critical review.

FM: methodology, critical review.

MG: supervisor, conceptualization, final review.

Correspondence email: gabrieltrevizanidepolli@gmail.com

Received: 05/04/2025

Accepted: 20/06/2025

to 30 years old, 47 (37.30%) between 30–40, 45 (35.71%) between 40–50, 21 (16.66%) between 50–60, and 8 (6.34%) over 60. Regarding teaching experience, 25 (19.8%) had less than 5 years, 36 (28.5%) between 5–10, 20 (15.8%) between 10–15, 24 (19%) between 15–20, and 21 (16.6%) over 40 years. The mean VHI-10 score was 4.03 (SD±4.02), with a median of 3, below the cutoff point. In the VTDS, dryness was the most frequent and intense symptom, followed by sore and irritated throat. Tightness was the least reported sensation. There were significant positive correlations, from weak to moderate, between the total VHI-10 score and all VTDS symptoms. **Conclusion:** There was no self-reported voice handicap, but there was vocal tract discomfort, especially dryness, sore throat, and irritation. However, even without a perceived vocal handicap, the VHI-10 score increased with the intensity of vocal tract discomfort.

Keywords: Teaching; Signs and Symptoms; Voice Quality; Voice.

Resumo

Introdução: Professores enfrentam desafios vocais específicos devido às exigências da profissão. **Objetivo:** Identificar e correlacionar desvantagem e desconforto do trato vocal em docentes universitários. **Métodos:** Estudo observacional, transversal e analítico, com aplicação de questionário sociodemográfico, Índice de Desvantagem Vocal reduzido (IDV-10) e Escala de Desconforto do Trato Vocal (EDTV) em professores de uma instituição federal brasileira. Utilizou-se o teste de correlação de Spearman ($p<0,05$). **Resultados:** Participaram 126 docentes: 3,96% com até 30 anos, 37,30% entre 30–40, 35,71% entre 40–50, 16,66% entre 50–60 e 6,34% acima de 60. Quanto ao tempo de atuação no ensino superior: 19,8% com menos de 5 anos, 28,5% entre 5–10, 15,8% entre 10–15, 19% entre 15–20, e 16,6% com mais de 40 anos. No IDV-10, a média foi 4,03 (DP±4,02) e a mediana 3, abaixo do ponto de corte. Na EDTV, a secura foi o desconforto mais frequente e intenso, seguida de dor e irritação na garganta. A sensação de aperto foi a menos referida. Houve correlações positivas e significativas, de fraca a moderada magnitude, entre o escore do IDV-10 e todos os sintomas da EDTV. **Conclusão:** Não houve autorreferência de desvantagem vocal, mas os participantes relataram desconfortos, sendo secura, dor e irritação na garganta os mais comuns. Ainda que não percebam desvantagem vocal, o escore do IDV-10 tende a aumentar conforme os sintomas de desconforto se intensificam.

Palavras-chave: Ensino; Sinais e sintomas; Qualidade da voz; Voz.

Resumen

Introducción: Los docentes enfrentan desafíos particulares en la salud vocal debido a la naturaleza de su trabajo. **Objetivo:** Identificar y correlacionar la desventaja vocal y el malestar del tracto vocal en profesores universitarios. **Métodos:** Estudio observacional, transversal y analítico. Se aplicaron un cuestionario sociodemográfico, el Índice de Desventaja Vocal reducido (IDV-10) y la Escala de Disconfort del Tracto Vocal (EDTV) a profesores universitarios de una institución federal brasileña. Se utilizó la prueba de correlación de Spearman con un nivel de significancia del 5% ($p<0.05$). **Resultados:** Participaron 126 docentes: 5 (3,96%) tenían hasta 30 años, 47 (37,30%) entre 30–40, 45 (35,71%) entre 40–50, 21 (16,66%) entre 50–60, y 8 (6,34%) más de 60. En cuanto a la experiencia docente, 25 (19,8%) tenían menos de 5 años, 36 (28,5%) entre 5–10, 20 (15,8%) entre 10–15, 24 (19%) entre 15–20, y 21 (16,6%) más de 40 años. El promedio del IDV-10 fue 4,03 (DE±4,02), con una mediana de 3, por debajo del punto de corte. En la EDTV, la sequedad fue el síntoma más frecuente e intenso, seguida de dolor e irritación de garganta. La sensación de tensión fue la menos reportada. Se observaron correlaciones positivas significativas, de magnitud débil a moderada, entre el puntaje total del IDV-10 y todos los síntomas sensoriales de la EDTV. **Conclusión:** No se identificó desventaja vocal autorreportada, pero sí malestar en el tracto vocal, siendo la sequedad, el dolor de garganta y la irritación los síntomas más comunes. Aun sin percepción de desventaja vocal, el puntaje del IDV-10 aumentó con el malestar vocal.

Palabras clave: Enseñanza; Signos y Síntomas; Calidad de la Voz; Voz.

Introduction

Teachers face unique challenges related to vocal health due to the nature of their occupation^{1,2}. The voice is their primary tool of work, used daily to transmit knowledge and establish effective communication with students. However, this intense vocal activity places them in a position of high vulnerability, making them susceptible to a significant incidence of vocal changes².

Furthermore, a study showed that university professors may experience high levels of work-related stress, which in turn directly influences the acoustic parameters of their voice, such as jitter, shimmer, and the harmonic-to-noise ratio, impairing vocal quality and increasing the risk of dysphonia³. These factors are exacerbated by unfavorable environmental conditions and the constant pressure to meet pedagogical demands, directly impacting vocal health⁴.

University professors face a high vocal workload in their profession⁵, with vocal changes being one of the main reasons for absenteeism from work⁶. Additionally, studies indicate the presence of high scores of vocal symptoms^{7,8}, which can negatively impact voice-related quality of life, although elevated scores in this aspect have been observed⁹.

According to a systematic review published in 2022, 41% of university professors reported vocal disorders⁵. This high vocal demand makes them susceptible to various symptoms, such as vocal fatigue, hoarseness, tiredness when speaking, throat irritation, and dry throat, which can significantly affect both their professional and personal quality of life^{5,7,8}. These symptoms can lead to vocal disadvantage, negatively impacting the psychosocial well-being of university professors¹⁰.

University professors with vocal disorders have a higher total score on the Vocal Handicap Index (VHI) compared to those without voice problems^{5,11}. This highlights the importance of understanding and addressing vocal symptoms early in this professional group to prevent dysphonia and improve their professional, emotional, and social quality of life^{5,11}. Therefore, considering that the vocal health of professors is a crucial aspect of their overall health and quality of life, this study aimed to identify and correlate vocal handicap and discomforts of the vocal tract in university professors..

Material and methods

Study design

An observational, cross-sectional, and analytical study. This study followed the STROBE guidelines (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology)¹², which provide recommendations to improve the quality of reporting in observational studies.

Study setting

The state of Espírito Santo (ES) is the smallest in the Southeast region, with a geographical area of 46,098.1 km², making it one of the smallest states in Brazil. In the educational context, the state has Higher Education Institutions (HEIs), with one public university and the others being private. The only public HEI in the state is multicampus, responsible for the training of thousands of students in undergraduate and graduate programs. This study was conducted at one of these institutions, a federal university with four campuses distributed across the state, two located in the capital, and one in each of the north and south of ES.

Participants and Eligibility Criteria

The sample was recruited online through emails, whose contacts were obtained from the websites of the university's educational centers. The researchers sent email invitations to the professors at the institution, explaining the rationale and objectives of the study, and providing a link for reading and consenting to the Informed Consent Form (ICF) and filling out the sociodemographic questionnaire and protocols.

Professors without vocal complaints were included in the sample, regardless of self-declared biological sex and age group, who were affiliated with any of the university's educational centers and had previously signed the ICF. Exclusion criteria included professors on leave for any reason during data collection, those who had undergone tumor resections in the head and neck, and/or professors who had previously undergone or were undergoing speech therapy.

Variables

After signing the ICF, the professors completed a sociodemographic questionnaire to collect information on biological sex, age, years of experience in higher education teaching, and the

number of students per class. They then completed the 10-item Voice Handicap Index (VHI-10), an instrument consisting of ten statements with a Likert scale from zero to four points, with a total score ranging from zero (no handicap) to 40 points (maximum handicap)¹³, with a cutoff score of 7.5¹⁴. Next, the professors completed the Vocal Tract Discomfort Scale (VTDS), which assesses the frequency and intensity of eight vocal tract discomfort sensations using a scale from 0 (never/none) to 6 (always/extreme). These sensations include burning, tightness, dryness, sore throat, itching, sensitive throat, irritated throat, and the sensation of a lump in the throat, highlighting the frequency and intensity of discomfort in the vocal tract^{15,16}.

Statistical Analysis

The data were organized and tabulated in an MS Excel spreadsheet, and the results were analyzed using the IBM SPSS statistical package (Statistical Package for Social Sciences), version 23.0. The relationship between the variables was studied. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for normality was used, and since all variables tested showed non-normality, a non-parametric approach was applied. To assess the correlation between the protocols, the Spearman Correlation test was used. The following classification of correlation coefficients was adopted for the interpretation of correlation magnitudes: <0.3 (weak linear correlation); ≥ 0.3 to <0.6 (moderate linear correlation); ≥ 0.6 to <0.9 (strong linear correlation); ≥ 0.9 to

<1.0 (very strong linear correlation); and $r = 1.0$ (perfect linear correlation)¹⁷.

Ethical Aspects

The project was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the institution under opinion number 1,708,786. It is emphasized that the anonymity of the participants' information was protected to prioritize the principles of confidentiality and privacy related to this investigation.

Results

A total of 126 university professors were interviewed, with 55 (43.7%) being male and 71 (56.3%) being female. Regarding age, five (3.9%) were under 30 years old, 47 (37.3%) were in the 31-40 age range, 45 (35.7%) were between 41 and 50 years old, 21 (16.6%) were in the 50-60 age range, and 8 (6.3%) were over 60 years old. Concerning the number of students per class, 43 (34.1%) had up to 25 students, while 83 (65.9%) had more than 25 students. Regarding professional experience, 54 (42.8%) had less than ten years in the profession, and 72 (57.2%) had more than ten years in higher education teaching. No association was found between the protocol items and biological sex.

In the VHI-10, the median did not exceed the cutoff value of the protocol. In the VTDS, dryness was identified as the most frequent discomfort, with an average of 2.30, and also the most intense, with an average of 2.06.

Table 1. VHI-10 and VTDS Scores (n=126).

PROM	Subitems	Median	Sample	Female	Male	p-value
VHI-10	Total	3	4.03±4.02	4.07±3.76	3.98±4.38	0.396
	Burning	1	1.34±1.39	1.33±1.38	1.345±1.69	0.726
	Tightness	0	0.82±1.39	0.817±1.20	0.836±1.17	0.963
	Dryness	2	2.30±1.50	2.45±1.64	2.10±1.30	0.545
VTDS - Frequency	Sore throat	2	1.75±1.46	1.80±1.46	1.69±1.49	0.782
	Itching	1	1.14±1.31	1.21±1.34	1.04±1.29	0.396
	Sensitive throat	1	1.61±1.60	1.66±1.722	1.56±1.46	0.702
	Irritated throat	2	1.74±1.40	1.81±1.43	1.65±1.42	0.599
	Lump in the throat	0	0.85±1.40	0.77±1.22	0.964±1.55	0.807
	Burning	1	1.21±1.36	1.18±1.37	1.25±1.38	0.426
	Tightness	0	0.76±1.19	0.74±1.23	0.80±1.16	0.609
VTDS - Intensity	Dryness	2	2.06±1.53	2.26±1.67	1.80±1.30	0.350
	Sore throat	1	1.68±1.44	1.76±1.53	1.58±1.33	0.675
	Itching	1	1.09±1.38	1.12±1.35	1.05±1.43	0.453
	Sensitive throat	1	1.56±1.58	1.60±1.63	1.50±1.55	0.670
	Irritated throat	2	1.75±1.46	1.78±1.44	1.70±1.51	0.826
	Lump in the throat	0	0.77±1.29	0.69±1.17	0.89±1.45	0.467

Legend: Fisher's Exact; VHI-10 = Reduced Voice Handicap Index; VTDS = Vocal Tract Discomfort Scale; SD = Standard Deviation.

There were significant positive correlations, ranging from weak to moderate magnitude, between the total VHI-10 score and all the sensory symptoms of the VTDS. Moderate positive correlations occurred between the VHI-10 score and the

frequency and intensity of all vocal tract discomforts, except for the intensity of the sensation of a lump in the throat, which showed a weak positive correlation ($r=0.257$).

Table 2. Correlation between the VHI-10 and VTDS PROMs.

VTDS	Subitems	VHI - 10
		r
Frequency	Burning	0.437**
	Tightness	0.387**
	Dryness	0.407**
	Sore throat	0.516**
	Itching	0.374**
	Sensitive throat	0.489**
	Irritated throat	0.499**
	Lump in the throat	0.316**
Intensity	Burning	0.458**
	Tightness	0.323**
	Dryness	0.445**
	Sore throat	0.459**
	Itching	0.318**
	Sensitive throat	0.529**
	Irritated throat	0.475**
	Lump in the throat	0.257*

Legend: Spearman Correlation Test; *significant if $p<0.05$; **significant if $p<0.001$; VHI-10 = Reduced Vocal Disadvantage Index; VTDS = Vocal Tract Discomfort Scale.

Discussion

University professors represent a professional group susceptible to a range of challenges related to vocal health, given the high level of vocal demand imposed by the nature of their work activities. Studies indicate that among voice professionals, teachers are among those with the highest incidence of vocal changes¹⁷. Specifically, among university professors, approximately 40% are affected by these changes⁵.

Moreover, it is considered that the vocal doses required during classes may be a response to the high vocal demand imposed by acoustic conditions and classroom size¹⁸, highlighting the importance of further investigations to better understand this dynamic and develop effective prevention and intervention strategies.

The vocal handicap data from this study corroborate previous research, in which university professors did not show self-perception of vocal disadvantage^{10,19}. However, it is important to note that, although the group in this study consisted of teachers with no complaints, this could influence the lack of reference to vocal disadvantage. However, not reporting vocal disadvantage does not mean that these professors do not experience vocal symptoms and/or discomfort sensations. This underscores the importance of using self-assessment protocols that prompt them to reflect more deeply on their vocal production conditions.

Other studies have shown that the VHI score of higher education teachers with vocal complaints is higher than that of teachers without complaints^{5,11} and that vocal disadvantage may be correlated among voice professionals without a diagnosis of vocal disorders¹¹. Additionally, factors such as shyness can be confounders in the self-perception of vocal disadvantage in voice professionals²⁰. Therefore, it is plausible to consider that self-perception of vocal disadvantage may vary between different groups, emphasizing the importance of its monitoring in subsequent studies for a more complete understanding of this dynamic.

Regarding vocal tract discomfort (VTD), dryness was the most frequent and intense. This discomfort is also the most reported by individuals with vocal complaints²¹ and female university professors²², demonstrating that dryness of the throat is one of the most frequently reported symptoms by professors. Dryness can occur due to several

factors, such as caffeine intake, unfavorable nasal and sinus conditions, smoking, excessive alcohol consumption, exposure to air conditioning, and insufficient hydration⁵. Hydration of the vocal cords helps reduce the phonation threshold pressure²³, so it should be frequently practiced by professors.

In addition to dryness, the most self-reported symptoms, both in frequency and intensity, were sore throat and irritated throat, respectively. The data corroborate a study that observed a prevalence of 50.8% of sore or irritated throat in a total of 846 university professors²⁴.

The causes of dryness and sore throat are multifactorial and can be infectious or non-infectious. In non-infectious cases, physical-chemical factors (e.g., smoking, snoring, shouting, drug use) and environmental factors (e.g., pollution, temperature, humidity/air conditioning) can influence throat pain²⁵. Irritated throat is also highly self-reported by teachers from other levels of education²⁶. Furthermore, maintaining vocal effort during classes, often necessary to maintain the teaching-learning relationship, may explain the frequency of the sensation found.

The increased vocal intensity by professors during the exercise of their profession may characterize a risk factor for laryngeal mucosal damage, which results in, among other symptoms, throat irritation²⁷. Therefore, it is believed that the throat irritability reported by professors may be associated with vocal intensity during the academic term and also with the presence of inflammatory processes and/or tissue involvement in the larynx and hypopharynx regions.

On the other hand, the least reported sensations in the present study were “tightness” and “lump in the throat.” This finding corroborates a study conducted with Brazilian elementary school teachers, where it was found that, for both biological sexes, the least reported VTD variables in frequency and intensity were “tightness” and “lump in the throat,” while the most cited symptom was “dryness”²⁸. Additionally, a study that only analyzed the frequency of symptoms through the VTDS and with teachers from different education levels, but not including university professors, showed that “dryness” and “irritated throat” were the most frequent signs reported by participants, and “tightness” was the least cited²⁶. Also, in the study by Limoeiro et al. (2019), it was concluded that there were no statistical differences between teachers regarding the frequency

of VTD²⁶. Although these studies were conducted with teachers from other educational levels, such data reflect the idea that dryness is highly reported by teachers from various educational levels, while tightness is the least self-reported VTD.

The correlations between the VHI-10 score and the frequency and intensity of all VTDs demonstrate that the higher the self-reported frequency and intensity of vocal tract discomfort, the higher the vocal handicap score. The positive correlation between the protocols has already been demonstrated in studies with teachers with and without muscle tension dysphonia²⁹. These correlations suggest a direct relationship between the perception of vocal disadvantage and the occurrence of discomfort in the vocal tract, indicating that the presence of vocal problems can significantly influence the experience of vocal discomfort. Thus, the importance of evaluating self-perception of the voice as a crucial indicator in identifying and monitoring potential vocal problems in different populations is emphasized.

Although occupational stress was not studied in this research, it also emerges as an important factor, as it can alter the acoustic parameters of the voice, compromising vocal quality and increasing the effort required for efficient vocal production³. Therefore, the need for integrated interventions that address not only vocal aspects but also psychosocial and environmental factors that influence the vocal health of university professors is reinforced.

This study has some limitations, such as restricting the sample to university professors from a single institution, which may limit the generalization of the findings to other academic realities, especially those with different structural, organizational, and vocal health support conditions. Thus, it is recommended that future research adopt methodologies that integrate perceptual-auditory and acoustic voice evaluations, as well as expand the sample to different institutional and regional contexts to deepen the understanding of the factors that impact the vocal health of educators.

Additionally, it is essential that future investigations consider variables such as hydration, the presence of flu-like symptoms at the time of data collection, sleep quality and duration, workload, and other aspects of the teaching routine, such as stress levels and vocal well-being. Nevertheless, the reports of frequency and intensity of vocal tract discomfort symptoms found in the present study

suggest that the evaluated university professors may be exposed to unfavorable working conditions and vocal risk behaviors during their profession.

Conclusion

There was no self-reported vocal disadvantage, but rather discomfort in the vocal tract, with dryness, sore throat, and irritation being the most reported symptoms. However, it was observed that, even without the perception of vocal disadvantage, the score increased as the self-reported discomfort in the vocal tract intensified.

References

1. Roy N, Merrill R, Thibeault S, Gray S, Smith E. Voice disorders in teachers and the general population: effects on work performance, attendance, and future career choices. *J Speech Lang Hear Res*. 2004; 47(3): 542–51. doi:10.1044/1092-4388(2004/042)
2. Behlau M, Zambon F, Guerrieri AC, Roy N. Epidemiology of voice disorders in teachers and nonteachers in Brazil: prevalence and adverse effects. *J Voice*. 2012; 26(5): 665.e9.
3. Carrillo-González A, Atará-Piraquive AP, Camargo-Mendoza M, Hernández-Contreras JR, Cantor-Cutiva LC. Colombian College Professors Work-Related Health: Associations Between Stress and Voice Acoustics Parameters. *Rev Investig Innov Cienc Salud*. 2025; 7(1):1–16. doi:10.46634/riics.333.
4. Martins RHG, Pereira ERBN, Hidalgo CB, Tavares ELM. Voice disorders in teachers: A review. *J Voice*. 2014; 28(6): 716–24.
5. Azari S, Aghaz A, Maarefvand M, Ghelichi L, Pashazadeh F, Shavaki YA. The Prevalence of Voice Disorders and the Related Factors in University Professors: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Voice*. 2024 Sep; 38(5):1103-1114. doi: 10.1016/j.jvoice.2022.02.017.
6. Porto TNRS, Rodrigues TS, Mendes MMP, Sousa RMM, Feitosa GT, Sousa IDB, et al. Principais causas de absenteísmo por professores: revisão integrativa de literatura. *REAS*. 2021;13(1): e5135.
7. Dassie-Leite AP, Cercal GCS, Paula AL, Jovis JMM, Ribeiro VR. Vocal Symptoms in Brazilian Professors: Self-Perception and Relationship Factors. *J Voice*. 2021; 35(5): 806.e15–20.
8. Depolli GT, Moreti F, Azevedo EHM, Guimarães MF. Vocal sensory symptoms, vocal fatigue and vocal habits in university professors. *J Voice*. 2024; 38(2): 309–15.
9. Coelho SC, Depolli GT, Cruz KS, Fernandes DNS, Costa MRB, Oliveira G, et al. The relationship between vocal fatigue and voice-related quality of life in university professors. *CoDAS*. 2021; 33(5): e20200174.
10. Santos MBP, Morais EPG, Porto VFA. Vocal fatigue and associated factors in university teachers in remote education. *Audiol Commun Res*. 2022; 27: e2707.



11. Moghtader M, Soltani M, Mehravar M, Jafar Shaterzadeh Yazdi M, Dastoorpoor M, Moradi N. The Relationship Between Vocal Fatigue Index and Voice Handicap Index in University Professors with and without voice complaint. *J Voice*. 2020; 34(5): 809.e1–5.
12. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP; STROBE Initiative. Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *BMJ*. 2007; 335(7624): 806–8. doi:10.1136/bmj.39335.541782.
13. Costa T, Oliveira G, Behlau M. Validation of the Voice Handicap Index: 10 (VHI-10) to the Brazilian Portuguese. *CoDAS*. 2013; 25(5): 482–5.
14. Behlau M, Madazio G, Moreti F, Oliveira G, Dos Santos LM, Paulinelli BR, et al. Efficiency and cutoff values of self-assessment instruments on the impact of a voice problem. *J Voice*. 2016; 30(4): 506.e9–18. doi:10.1016/j.jvoice.2015.05.022.
15. Rodrigues G, Zambon F, Mathieson L, Behlau M. Vocal tract discomfort in teachers: its relationship to self-reported voice disorders. *J Voice*. 2013; 27(4): 473–80.
16. Alencar S, Dos Santos J, Almeida LN, Lopes L, Nascimento JA, Almeida AA. Vocal Tract Discomfort Scale-Brazil (VTDS-BR): validation based on internal consistency, reliability, and accuracy. *J Voice*. 2024. In press.
17. Oliveira P, Ribeiro VV, Constantini AC, Cavalcante MEOB, Sousa MS, da Silva K. Prevalence of work-related voice disorders in voice professionals: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Voice*. 2025 Jan; 39(1): 84–104. doi: 10.1016/j.jvoice.2022.07.030.
18. Carvalho de Oliveira CL, Viola DN, Miranda TM, Souza MSD, Masson MLV. Vocal load of university professors: preliminary results. *Rev Investig Innov Cienc Salud*. 2024; 6(1): 73–97. doi.org/10.46634/riics.241.
19. Azari S, Shavaki Y, Ghelichi L, Moossavi A, Saneii S. Voice Handicap Index in Iranian Rehabilitation Professors with and Without Vocal Complaints. *Middle East J Rehabil Health Stud*. 2022 July; 9(3): e121048.
20. Fernandes G, Madazio G, Vaiano TCG, Behlau M. A timidez e desvantagem vocal em profissionais da voz. *Audiol Commun Res*. 2020; 25: e2304.
21. Lopes LW, Florencio VO, Silva POC, da Nóbrega E, Ugulino AC, Almeida AA. Vocal Tract Discomfort Scale (VTDS) and Voice Symptom Scale (VoiSS) in the Evaluation of Patients With Voice Disorders. *J Voice*. 2019; 33(3): 381.e23–31.
22. Al Awaji NN, Alghamdi KA, Alfari AM, Alzamil RZ, Alhijji LN, Alyehya GS, et al. Measuring perceived voice disorders and quality of life among female university teaching faculty. *J Pers Med*. 2023; 13(11): 1568.
23. Leydon C, Wróblewski M, Eichorn N, Sivasankar M. A meta-analysis of outcomes of hydration intervention on phonation threshold pressure. *J Voice*. 2010; 24(6): 637–43.
24. Korn GP, Pontes AAL, Abranches D, Pontes PAL. Vocal Tract Discomfort and Risk Factors in University Teachers. *J Voice*. 2016; 30(4): 507.e7–14.
25. Renner B, Mueller C, Shephard A. Environmental and non-infectious factors in the aetiology of pharyngitis (sore throat). *Inflamm Res*. 2012; 61:1041–9. doi:10.1007/s00011-012-0540-9.
26. Limoeiro FMH, Ferreira AEM, Zambon F, Behlau M. Comparação da ocorrência de sinais e sintomas de alteração vocal e de desconforto no trato vocal em professores de diferentes níveis de ensino. *CoDAS*. 2019; 31(2): e20180115.
27. Mathieson L. Vocal tract discomfort in hyperfunctional dysphonia. *J Voice*. 1993; 2: 40–8.
28. de Souza LBR, Pernambuco LA, de Lima CR, dos Santos MM. Desconforto no trato vocal em professores do ensino fundamental. *Rev Cienc Med Biol*. 2015; 14(1): 36–41.
29. Khoddami S, Aghadoost S, Khatoonabadi A, Dabirmoghaddam P, Jalaie S. Comparison and Relation Between Vocal Tract Discomfort and Voice Handicap Index in Teachers With and Without Muscle Tension Dysphonia. *J Rehabil*. 2023. 24(2): 264–83. doi:10.32598/rj.24.2.3627.1.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

